REFERENCE BRANCH **B** ಸಂಶೋಧನಾ ಹಾಗೂ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖನಾ ASSEMBLY, RESEARCH AND SON NO. LEGISLATIVE ಕನಾನಚಿಕ KARNATAKA

CLIPPINGS ತುಣಕಗಳು REST PAPER

: DATE (9/11/2 ದಿಸಾಂಕ SUCCES! DEPARTMENT / SUBJECT: OF THE NEWS PAPER: NAME ಬಹರು ध्रम् ತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ 13

ordinance: Central rules in force for now, state tells High Court of Animal Rules, 1978 (Central Rules) will be applicable until The court on Mon The court on Monday said are being slaughtered every BENGALURU, DHNS the state Rules are brought it will consider prayer for year, an average of 652 cattle grant of limited interim relief per day. into force. The AG made this submis- concerning Section 5 read with Section 13 sion since a division bench of the ordinance on headed by Chief Justice Abhay Wednesday. of Cattle (Transportation of Shreeniwas Oka had sought In the affidavit the state's response on the filed on Monday, the implementation of the Karnagovernmentsaidthat taka Prevention of Slaughter the cattle population and Preservation of Cattle Or-MIGH COURT in the state had subdinance 2020 in the absence stantially reduced over the past eight years. The given to the police in the orof the Rules. affidavitsaid while there were dinance to raid and seize any During the last hearing, the cattle under Section 5 of the bench had pointed out that 95,16,484 cattle as per 2012 property on mere suspicion. livestock census, the number The ordinance provides for since Rules are not framed, as Cattle Ordinance, 2020, Rule a farmer can be arrested under reduced to 84,69,004 in the rigorous imprisonment of 3-7 criminal activities, the pe 46 to Rule 56 of The Transport

The government on Monday informed the High L Court that the draft - Karnataka Prevention of Slaughter and Preservation cattle) Rules, 2020, had been published in the gazette on

January 16. Advocate General (AG) Prabhuling K Navadgi informed the court that if any person wants to transport Karnataka Prevention of

Section 13 even for a bona fide

The PIL, filed by Mohamed Arif Jameel, Bengaluru-based social worker and RTI activist, challenged the ordinance.

According to the petitioner, a free hand has been

state said that 2,38,296 cattle $\,$ 50,000 to Rs 5 lakh for th guilty of slaughtering, sm gling or illegally transport

cattle. The petition said that a c plete ban on sale or purch or resale of cattle would ca huge economic burden or farmers.

The petitioner apprehed that the ordinance ca used to criminalise Musl Dalits and other minor over their choice of f There is a possibility of vation of more and more vigilante groups, resu in incidents of lynchius